

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
27 February 2003 (27.02.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 03/016161 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **B65D 41/34**

[DE/DE]; Dorfstra. 13, 79591 Eimeldingen (DE).
HABERSAAT, Patrick [CH/CH]; Habshagstrasse 15,
CH-CH-4153 REINACH (CH).

(21) International Application Number: **PCT/EP02/08948**

(74) Agent: **SMITH, Debra, Jane, Clare**; CarnaudMetalbox
Plc, Downsview Road, Wantage, Oxfordshire OX12 9BP
(GB).

A CLOSURE CAP

The present invention is concerned generally with a closure cap having a tamper-indicating band, and particularly to such a closure in which a plurality of flaps are provided on the tamper-indicating band for engaging an annular retaining bead on a container to retain the band on the container.

Closure caps of this type generally comprise a top panel with a cylindrical skirt depending from the periphery thereof. A tamper-indicating band is in some way frangibly connected to the open end of the skirt. The tamper-indicating band has retaining flaps which extend radially inwardly and towards the top panel to allow engagement under an annular retaining bead on a container. When the closure cap is removed from the container for the first time, for example by unscrewing, the flaps apply torque to the band and prevent the band from following the upper portion of the cap in its upwardly translational movement. The tamper-evident band is retained on the container as visible evidence that the container has already been opened.

Tamper-evident closure caps of this general type are well known within the art. For example, patent document US 4550844 describes a tamper-evident band with a plurality of wedge-shaped tabs that contact a retaining bead on a container. The thicker outer portion of the tabs wedge against the container retaining bead to apply torque to the tamper-evident band and break frangible bridges which connect the band to the rest of the cap.

Closure caps of this type are generally moulded with the flaps connected to the bottom of the tamper-evident band from where they depend in such a way that they can hinge. Following moulding the flaps are then upturned before the closure is applied to the container and the flaps pass over the container retention bead with hinging movement. It is particularly important in closure caps of this type that the tamper-indicating band remains on the container as the upper portion of the cap is unscrewed. The design of the flaps is therefore preferably such that when an upwardly axial force is applied to the tamper-evident band the flaps do not flip back down to their as-moulded condition such that the band can pass back over the container retention bead. In addition, the flaps must be flexible enough to pass over the retention bead when the cap is first applied to the container. This could be achieved, for example, by the use of longer flaps.

Whilst strengthening of the flap, for example by increasing its thickness or its length, improves retention of the tamper-evident band on the container, this decreases the ability of the flap to pass over the retaining bead as the closure cap is applied to the container. In addition the amount of material used is increased and the dimensions of the container and closure cap within which the flaps can be used is more limited.

As an alternative to upturned flaps the use of ratchet arrangements to break tamper-evident bands is known, for example, from JP 08164960. However, the ratchets are moulded projecting radially inwardly and

thus present problems with de-moulding and with application to a container neck.

According to the present invention there is provided a closure cap, the closure comprising a top panel, a cylindrical skirt depending from the periphery of the top panel, and a tamper-evident band frangibly connected to the open end of the skirt, the tamper-evident band has a plurality of circumferentially spaced retaining flaps, in use the retaining flaps extend radially inwardly and are directed towards the top panel so as to engage under an annular retaining bead on a container neck, characterised in that, the flaps include a ratchet surface adapted to engage a corresponding surface on the container neck, and in that the retaining flaps include spacer means which push the flap away from the neck.

The present invention ensures that the tamper-evident band breaks away from the skirt upon unscrewing of the closure by applying bi-directional force to the frangible connection. The first component of force is a shear force provided by the engagement of the ratchet surface on the container with the ratchet surface on the retaining flaps. The second component of force is a vertical force provided by the engagement of the retaining flaps under the annular retaining bead of the container. The flap provides both a ratchet surface and a spacer means.

In order that the vertical force applied to the frangible connection is maximised the flaps need to be positioned as vertically as possible, whilst maintaining contact under the retaining bead. The spacer means of

the flaps push the flaps away from the container neck, reducing the angle between the flap and the main wall of the tamper-evident band.

A particularly pertinent application of the present invention is for beer bottles. Closure caps which are used for beer bottles preferably have a shorter skirt and tamper-evident band than is standard to imitate a metal crown. So-called short twist-off closures present problems with tamper-evidence because the vertical distance the closure moves is reduced. In addition, the tamper-evident band needs to be as short as possible, which consequently reduces the maximum length of retaining flaps. Reliable tamper-evidence must be achieved whilst retaining ease of application. The ability to maximise forces applied to the frangible connection allows the height of the closure to be reduced. A standard 28mm diameter closure is approximately 19mm tall (including the tamper-evident band). Using the present invention closures of 14.4mm have proved to function reliably. The reduction in height gives a corresponding reduction in material.

The use of hinged flaps which are moulded in a downward condition and then folded into the closure prior to application is an advantage, because the flaps can hinge towards the tamper-evident band as they pass over container retaining bead. However, the nature of plastics materials is such that the flaps try to return to their original downward-most position, i.e. away from the tamper-evident band wall and towards the neck finish. This means that the flaps tend to move to a less vertical

inclination, with a corresponding reduction in the vertical force exerted on an annular retaining bead.

Additionally in order to improve the ease of application, a wider diameter tamper-evident band can be used. Without a spacer means this problem of the flap moving towards and then resting against the neck finish would be worse.

The spacer means may be a fin, and in one embodiment there are two fins, located at each lateral edge of the flap. With this arrangement, as the closure cap is first applied to the container and the flaps are required to pass over the retainer retention bead, the flap can flex between the fins.

The spacer means may be formed by a fold in part of the flap. For example, by folding part of a flap radially inwardly (when the flap is in use) this may be used to produce a fin. As an additional benefit, by folding the flap its rigidity can be improved. Because the flap is inclined upwards and inward after placement on to the container and the flaps engage with their free edge onto the container, the forces arising during opening of the closure cap are transferred by the flaps to the tamper-indicating band approximately along their longitudinal axis. The use of folds which may, for example, be along the longitudinal axis of the flap can be used to improve the rigidity of the flap in that direction. In this case therefore the flaps can brace more firmly against the container in addition to being pushed away from it. In one embodiment in which two lateral fins are created through an inward folding of the edges of the flap, the

width of the fins increases towards the free edge of the flap to increase the area of contact with the container. Corrugated flaps could be used but this uses more material than flaps which are simply folded once at either side to form lateral fins.

In one embodiment the thickness of the flap is substantially uniform throughout its length. This is particularly relevant to flaps in which an inward folding is used to improve the retention of the flaps against the tamper-evident bead on the container.

In a preferred embodiment all of the retaining flaps have spacer means. However, it is of course possible for only a proportion of the retaining flaps, for example alternate flaps, to include spacer means and still effect the overall retention of the tamper-evident band on the container. The proportion of flaps which have this spacer element should therefore be sufficient to retain the band on the container and will be dependent on the specific design of the cap.

The present invention also provides the combination of a closure cap and a container, the closure comprising a top panel, a cylindrical skirt depending from the periphery of the top panel, and a tamper-evident band frangibly connected to the open end of the skirt the tamper-evident band has a plurality of circumferentially spaced retaining flaps, in use the retaining flaps extend radially inwardly and are directed towards the top panel so as to engage under an annular retaining bead on the container neck, characterised in that, the container neck includes one or more ratchet elements mutually spaced

under the annular retaining bead, the flaps include a ratchet surface for engaging the ratchet elements, and in that the retaining flaps include spacer means which push them away from the neck.

The present invention will now be more particularly described, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:-

Fig.1 is a side view of a closure cap according to the present invention, shown attached to a container;

Fig.2 is a side view of the container neck finish of Fig.1;

Fig.3 is a section through line III-III of Fig.2;

Fig.4 is a perspective view of part of a tamper-evident band having retaining flaps according to the present invention, shown in an as-moulded condition and prior to application;

Fig.5 is a section through line V-V of one of the retaining flaps shown in Fig.4;

Fig.6 is a section through the closure / container combination of Fig.1 showing retaining flaps upturned to engage under an annular retaining bead.

Fig.7 is a magnified view of Fig.6 illustrating the function of the retaining flaps;

Fig.8 is a perspective view of the underside of part of a tamper-evident band according to a further embodiment;

Fig.9 is a perspective view of part of a tamper-evident band according to a further embodiment; and

Fig.10 is a section through a container shown with a closure formed according to a still further embodiment.

Referring first to Fig.1 there is shown a closure cap generally indicated (10) which is attached to a container neck (20), in this case from a beer bottle. The closure cap (10) comprises a top panel (30) with a cylindrical skirt (35) depending from its periphery. A tamper-evident band (40) is frangibly connected to the open end of the skirt (35) by a plurality of frangible bridges (50). The length of the cap (10) is approximately 14mm; a standard plastics cap for bottles is approximately 20mm. In this embodiment the cap (10) as a whole and the band (40) are much shorter than usual, although of course the present invention is not limited to such closures. Whilst not illustrated, the cap may include a liner, such as a gas scavenging liner, which may be particularly useful for products such as beer which are sensitive to oxygen.

Referring now to Figs. 2 and 3, the container neck (20) is shown in more detail. The neck (20) has a single start thread (21) which includes several venting slots (22). Below the thread (21) is an annular retaining bead (23). Below the bead (23) are a plurality of circumferentially spaced wedge-shape ratchet teeth and elements (24).

Referring now to Fig.4 the tamper-indicating band (40) has a plurality of circumferentially spaced retaining flaps (60) connected to its end remote from the skirt (35). The flaps (60) are moulded as generally rectangular plates, which in this embodiment are of substantially uniform thickness. Each flap is moulded so as to be folded along lines (70, 75). The folds result

in a flap comprising a generally trapezoidal centre section (80) with two generally triangular lateral fins (85a, 85b). The flaps (60) are turned upwardly before or as the closure cap (10) is applied to a container (20). This is represented on the right hand side of Fig.2 with the flap (60a) shown in its downwardly directed position, and the same flap shown in its upwardly directed position indicated 60b. Whilst in this embodiment the flaps (60) are moulded with the fins already present, the flaps could be processed to introduce fold lines, pleats or the like.

Fig.5 shows section through a flap (60) in which the fins (85a, 85b) can clearly be seen to extend radially outwardly of the central section (80).

Referring now to Figs. 6 and 7, the closure cap (10) is shown attached to the container (20). In this embodiment the flaps are moulded to be substantially horizontal i.e. orthogonal to the main cap axis. The flaps are turned up as the cap is applied to the bottle by the capping action. As the closure cap (10) is pushed on to the container the flaps (60) must pass over an annular retaining bead (23) on the container (20). It will be appreciated that as the fins (85a, 85b) pass over the bead (90) the fins (85a, 85b) can flex outwardly which helps application of the closure. In the position shown in Figs.6 and 7 the flaps (60) are engaged under the bead (23). It will be appreciated from Fig.7 that the inclusion of the fins (85a, 85b) holds the flap more vertically. Once the closure is applied, the outer surface (86a) of the fin (85a) serves as a ratchet

surface (see Fig.5). When the closure is unscrewed the surface (86a) engages one of the teeth (24) on the container neck and prevents rotation of the band (40). This exerts shearing forces on the frangible bridges (50) which connect the band (40) to the skirt (35). In addition, the tops of the fins (85a, 85b) and the section (80) engage under the bead (23) to exert vertical forces on the bridges (50) as the closure begins to travel up the thread (21). This vertical force is maximised because the flaps (60) are held close to the vertical due to the fins (85a, 85b). In addition the fins (85a, 85b) help to prevent the flaps from flipping downwardly towards their as-moulded position. This is in part due to the fact that the flaps (60) are held more vertically than they otherwise would be, such that the resultant force from an axial force exerted on the tamper-indicating band is transferred more effectively to the band and the annular retaining bead than if the flap is at an increased angle away from the vertical. The fins increase the effective width of the flaps without increasing the amount of material used. In addition, the fold lines (70, 75) which define the fins (85a, 85b) increase the longitudinal rigidity of the flaps (60) which helps to prevent a simple transverse folding of the flaps allowing the tamper-indicating band (40) to pass over the annular retaining bead (23).

It will be appreciated that the use of the fins is only one way in which the flaps (60) can be pushed away from the container in this way. For example, one or more surface projections such as a rib or boss could be used

to produce the same effect. The fin embodiment is, however, viewed as particularly advantageous because this uses the least amount of material and in addition results in the increased rigidity properties without requiring an increase in material thickness, as described above.

The frangible connection of the tamper-indicating band to the open end of the skirt may of course be achieved in a number of ways, for example, by the use of frangible bridges or by a localised thinning at the interface of the tamper-indicating band and the open end of the skirt.

The band may be a standard tamper-evident band or a 'pigtail' type band in which part of the band remains permanently attached to the rest of the closure.

It is not possible to mould the flaps inclined upwardly without the use of complex and expensive moulding equipment. The flaps are therefore preferably moulded in a downward position and are able to pivot, at least to some extent, with respect to the band. In this embodiment the flaps can pivot about the line along which they are connected to the band; the line effectively forms a film hinge. However, if this flexibility about the line along which the flap is joined to the band is too great this affects the ability of the flap to resist downward flipping.

Referring now to Fig.8 there is shown an alternative embodiment. In Figs.1 and 4 to 7 the flaps are completely separate from each other. In this embodiment opposing lateral edges (190) of the flaps (160) are connected by moulded bridges in the form of intermediate

webs (161) which extend from the band (140) at least part of the way up the flaps. The webs (161) reduce the flexibility of the flaps to help prevent them from pivoting downwards in response to axial force applied to the band, for example during unscrewing of the closure. The flaps (160) do not have the lateral fins of the embodiment shown in Figs. 1 and 4 to 7. Instead, each flap (160) has a wedge element (186). Each wedge element (186) comprises a generally rectangular ramped central portion (187) which extends from the base of the flap (160) at the point of attachment to the band (140), to its outside edge. A generally triangular ramped lateral portion (188) extends from one side of the central portion (187) and is inclined in the direction the closure will be screwed onto the container.

When the closure is fitted to the container the wedge elements (186) have the same effect as the fins (85a, 85b) in terms of pushing the flaps away from the container so that they lie more vertically, and in terms of strengthening the flaps along their longitudinal axis. In this embodiment the closure may be applied to a container with circumferential ratchet teeth located below the retention bead. The closure can be rotated on to such a container because the lateral portion (188) of each wedge element allows it to pass over the teeth (24) and slots (22) in this direction. When the closure is rotated off the container the ratchet teeth contact one lateral edge (190) of the flap; this therefore prevents rotation of the band.

A further embodiment is shown in Fig.9. In this embodiment the flexibility of the flaps (260) is reduced, but they are not interconnected by moulded bridges as in Fig.6. Instead, the flaps (260) are further connected to the band (240) by triangular webs (245) which extend from the lines (270, 275) to the band (240). The webs (245) extend only partially between adjacent flaps.

Referring now to Fig.10 there is shown a still further embodiment. In this embodiment a rectangular tab (395) extends from the centre of the outside edge of the flap (360). In use, the tab (395) extends at least up to the level of the retaining bead (390) of the container. As such the tab (395) exerts force on the retaining bead (395) further to prevent the flaps (360) from flicking down.

CLAIMS:

1. A closure cap (10), the closure comprising a top panel (30), a cylindrical skirt (35) depending from the periphery of the top panel, and a tamper-evident band (40) frangibly connected to the open end of the skirt, the tamper-evident band (40) has a plurality of circumferentially spaced retaining flaps (60), in use the retaining flaps extend radially inwardly and are directed towards the top panel (30) so as to engage under an annular retaining bead (23) on a container neck (20), characterised in that the retaining flaps include a ratchet surface (86a, 190) adapted to engage a corresponding surface on the container neck, and in that the retaining flaps include spacer means (85a, 85b, 186) which push the flap away from the neck.
2. A closure cap (10) according to Claim 1, wherein the flaps (60) are connected to the lower edge of the tamper-evident band (40) for hinged movement so that they can be turned from a downward, as-moulded condition to an upward condition prior to application to the container.
3. A closure cap (10) according to Claim 1 or Claim 2, wherein the ratchet surface (86a, 190) of the flap is its lateral edge.
4. A closure cap (10) according to Claim 1, wherein the spacer means (85a, 85b) is a fin.

5. A closure cap (10) according to Claim 2, wherein there are two fins (85a, 85b), located at each lateral edge of the flap (60).
6. A closure cap (10) according to any of Claims 1 to 3, wherein the spacer means (85a, 85b) is formed by a fold in part of the flap (60).
7. A closure cap (110) according to Claim 1, wherein the spacer means is a surface projection (186).
8. A closure cap (10) according to any preceding claim, wherein the spacer means increases in width towards the free edge of the flap (60).
9. A closure cap (10) according to any preceding claim, wherein the length of the closure cap is no greater than 15 mm.
10. A closure cap (110) according to any preceding claim, wherein adjacent flaps (160) are interconnected by intermediate web elements (161).
11. In combination, a closure cap (10) and a container neck (20), the closure cap (10) comprising a top panel 30), a cylindrical skirt (35) depending from the periphery of the top panel,

and a tamper-evident band (40) frangibly connected to the open end of the skirt,
the tamper-evident band (40) has a plurality of circumferentially spaced retaining flaps (60), in use the retaining flaps extend radially inwardly and are directed towards the top panel so as to engage under an annular retaining bead (23) on the container neck (20), characterised in that,
the container neck (20) includes one or more ratchet elements (24) mutually spaced under the annular retaining bead (23), the flaps include a ratchet surface (86a, 190) for engaging the ratchet elements, and in that the flaps (60) include spacer means (85a, 85b, 186) which push them away from the neck (20).

1/6

Fig.1.





Fig.5.



2/6

Fig.2.






Fig.3.



3/6

Fig. 4.



4/6

Fig.6.





Fig.7.



SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

5/6

Fig.8.






Fig.9.



6/6

Fig.10.



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/EP 02/08948

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 B65D41/34

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 B65D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 410 059 A (OWENS ILLINOIS CLOSURE INC) 30 January 1991 (1991-01-30) column 8, line 7 - line 15; figure 17	1-3,5-7, 9,11
A	---	4
X	WO 01 51375 A (ODET PHILIPPE ;TETRA PAK CLOSURES DEV (FR); DAMKJAER NIELS (SE)) 19 July 2001 (2001-07-19) claim 1; figures 1-4	1-3,7,9, 11
A	---	4
X	US 5 400 913 A (KELLY RONALD L) 28 March 1995 (1995-03-28) column 4, line 44 -column 5, line 28; figures 1-9,13,16	1,2,4,11
A	---	3
	-/-	

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

& document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

4 November 2002

Date of mailing of the International search report

12/11/2002

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Scheuer, J

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/EP 02/08948

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 913 437 A (MA XIAOLI) 22 June 1999 (1999-06-22) column 3, line 46 - line 67; figures 1,4,5 column 4, line 42 - line 52 ---	1,6,7,9, 11
A		3
X	US 5 964 139 A (SPATZ GUENTER ET AL) 12 October 1999 (1999-10-12) column 4, line 30 - line 39; figures 1,2 ---	1,5-7,11
A		2,3,9
A	US 5 749 484 A (TROUT STANLEY D) 12 May 1998 (1998-05-12) column 7, line 61 -column 9, line-21; figures 3,4 ---	1,2,7-9, 11
A	US 2001/002661 A1 (REIDENBACH BRYAN L) 7 June 2001 (2001-06-07) paragraphs '0037!-'0042!; figures 3,33A ---	1,2,7-9, 11
A	US 4 550 844 A (LININGER MICHAEL A) 5 November 1985 (1985-11-05) cited in the application column 1, line 41 -column 2, line 12; figures -----	1,2,7

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP 02/08948

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0410059	A	30-01-1991	US 5090788 A AT 107597 T AU 600624 A1 BR 8906149 A CA 2001023 A1 DE 68916425 D1 EP 0410059 A1 ES 2056226 T3 JP 2115686 C JP 3069460 A JP 8015912 B MX 165642 B US 5370520 A US 5462184 A US 5611446 A US 5755347 A US 5219507 A US 5310069 A ZA 8908183 A	25-02-1992 15-07-1994 16-08-1990 07-05-1991 27-01-1991 28-07-1994 30-01-1991 01-10-1994 06-12-1996 25-03-1991 21-02-1996 25-11-1992 06-12-1994 31-10-1995 18-03-1997 26-05-1998 15-06-1993 10-05-1994 28-12-1990
WO 0151375	A	19-07-2001	FR 2803830 A1 AU 3186201 A EP 1246760 A1 WO 0151375 A1	20-07-2001 24-07-2001 09-10-2002 19-07-2001
US 5400913	A	28-03-1995	AT 176437 T AU 672477 B2 AU 5813594 A BR 9305948 A CA 2130259 A1 CN 1091384 A , B DE 69323423 D1 DE 69323423 T2 WO 9414673 A1 EP 0632782 A1 ES 2127916 T3 IL 108003 A JP 7504147 T NZ 259353 A PL 304895 A1 ZA 9309601 A	15-02-1999 03-10-1996 19-07-1994 21-10-1997 07-07-1994 31-08-1994 18-03-1999 24-06-1999 07-07-1994 11-01-1995 01-05-1999 12-09-1996 11-05-1995 21-12-1995 09-01-1995 11-08-1994
US 5913437	A	22-06-1999	AU 8593898 A CN 1089711 B EP 1025015 A1 WO 9906295 A1 US 6112923 A US 2002033374 A1 US 2002066713 A1	22-02-1999 28-08-2002 09-08-2000 11-02-1999 05-09-2000 21-03-2002 06-06-2002
US 5964139	A	12-10-1999	DE 4121619 A1 DE 4121618 A1 AT 152416 T AU 665770 B2 AU 2173492 A BR 9206223 A CA 2112565 A1 DE 59208436 D1	14-01-1993 14-01-1993 15-05-1997 18-01-1996 25-01-1993 22-11-1994 07-01-1993 05-06-1997

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP 02/08948

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 5964139	A	DK	592506 T3	03-11-1997
		WO	9300270 A1	07-01-1993
		EP	0521389 A1	07-01-1993
		EP	0592506 A1	20-04-1994
		ES	2104935 T3	16-10-1997
		FI	935894 A	28-12-1993
		JP	6508587 T	29-09-1994
		KR	220787 B1	15-09-1999
		MX	9203718 A1	31-05-1994
		NO	934182 A	19-11-1993
		US	5660289 A	26-08-1997
		US	5937726 A	17-08-1999
		ZA	9204815 A	31-03-1993
US 5749484	A 12-05-1998	US	5609262 A	11-03-1997
		AU	6571196 A	27-03-1997
		BR	9603842 A	02-06-1998
		CA	2185976 A1	23-03-1997
		EP	0764591 A1	26-03-1997
		NO	963955 A	24-03-1997
		SG	43410 A1	17-10-1997
US 2001002661	A1 07-06-2001	NONE		
US 4550844	A 05-11-1985	AU	551078 B2	17-04-1986
		AU	3956785 A	09-01-1986
		BR	8502868 A	25-02-1986
		CA	1260870 A1	26-09-1989
		DE	3577685 D1	21-06-1990
		EP	0166572 A2	02-01-1986
		ES	287169 U	16-12-1985
		IN	165876 A1	03-02-1990
		JP	61011359 A	18-01-1986
		ZA	8503483 A	24-12-1985